

From: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>
To: Griffo, Paul <FTA>; Miller, Severn <FTA>
CC: Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Irvin, Wes <FTA>; Longo, David <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Borinsky, Susan <FTA>; Bausch, Carl <FTA>; Barr, James <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Biehl, Scott <FTA>; VanWyk, Christopher <FTA>; Matley, Ted <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>
Sent: 11/17/2008 7:43:22 AM
Subject: RE: an earlier email

Redacted

From: Griffo, Paul <FTA>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 9:02 AM
To: Griffo, Paul <FTA>; Miller, Severn <FTA>
Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Irvin, Wes <FTA>; Longo, David <FTA>
Subject: RE: an earlier email

Redacted

From: Griffo, Paul <FTA>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 10:37 AM
To: Miller, Severn <FTA>
Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Irvin, Wes <FTA>; Longo, David <FTA>
Subject: FW: an earlier email

Redacted

From: Cliff Slater [mailto:cslater36@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 7:48 AM
To: Griffo, Paul <FTA>
Subject: Fwd: an earlier email

Mr. Griffo:

I omitted to add that our query below is being made in response to your issuance this month of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project.

Cliff Slater
Cell: 808.285.7799

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cliff Slater** <cslater36@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Nov 15, 2008 at 6:20 PM
Subject: an earlier email
To: Paul.Griffo@dot.gov

Mr. Griffo:

Would you be so kind as to confirm that you have received an email we sent you approximately last Sunday, November 9, with a question about the Honolulu Project as shown below.

Thank you,

Cliff Slater
Chair, Honolulutraffic.com
cell phone: 808.285.7799

"We note that construction of phase I of the Honolulu Project will commence prior to FTA's approval of the FFGA. Please explain why that does not violate the following provisions of 23CFR771:

<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hep/23cfr771.htm>

In order to ensure meaningful evaluation of alternatives and to avoid commitments to transportation improvements before they are fully evaluated, the action evaluated in each EIS or finding of no significant impact (FONSI) shall:

1. Connect logical termini and be of sufficient length to address environmental matters on a broad scope;
2. Have independent utility or independent significance, i.e., be usable and be a reasonable expenditure even if no additional transportation improvements in the area are made; and
3. Not restrict consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements."